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#### Abstract

A digital gate driver with active gate driving is effective in solving the tradeoff between switching loss and current/voltage overshoot of power devices. However, the optimum gate driving vector ( $\mathbf{G V}$ ) for a digital gate driver is temperatureand load current-dependent. When an optimum GV at a particular operating condition is reused to another, the switching performance sometimes becomes worse than the conventional gate drive (Sai et al. 2019). Therefore, robust gate driving vectors (RGVs) against temperature and load current variation are required (Sai et al. 2019). Nevertheless, the test cost of searching for an RGV is very high. In order to reduce the test cost, search methods of RGV with fewer required measurements are proposed in this article. Single-step GV and stop-and-go GV are selected as the type of RGV for turn-ON and turn-OFF, respectively. Compared with the conventional search method of RGV in Sai et al. 2019 and Wang et al. 2020, the proposed search method can reduce the measurement time by $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ and $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$, respectively. Furthermore, when the RGV and the optimum GV at a single condition are reused to other operating conditions, the maximum overall $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ of RGV is lower than that of the single condition optimum GV, which shows that the searched RGV has better robustness.


Index Terms-Gate driver, insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT), load current, robustness, temperature.

## I. INTRODUCTION

INSULATED gate bipolar transistors (IGBTs) have been widely employed in high-power applications due to their high voltage/current capability and ease of driving. In a power system, the gate driver acts as the interface between low-voltage control signals and power devices such as IGBTs. Therefore, the gate driver has a direct impact on the switching behavior

[^0]

Fig. 1. Example of GV.
of IGBTs. Conventional gate drivers (CGDs) adopt fixed gate drive voltage and fixed gate resistance to control the charging of the IGBT's gate capacitance throughout entire switching periods. Generally, the gate drive voltage or resistance is selected to meet requirements such as switching loss, overshoots and electromagnetic interference. Unfortunately, CGD cannot achieve a compromise between these conflicting objectives simultaneously. To overcome the drawback of CGD, many works of active gate driver (AGD) have been proposed [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39]. Active gate driving of power devices, where the gate driving current is dynamically controlled during the turn-ON/OFF transients, is a solution to solve the trade-off between the switching loss ( $E_{\mathrm{LOSS}}$ ) and the current overshoot ( $I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ ) or the voltage overshoot ( $V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ ) of CGD. The AGD technique reported in [2], [3], [4], and [5] is composed of a fixed voltage source and switched resistors to control the gate charging current. Multilevel AGDs are introduced in [6], [7], [8], [9], and [10]. The gate driving voltage is changed at different stages during the switching transients. Analog controller-based current source presented in [11], [12], [13], [14], and [15] is a common method for gate driving current adjustment in AGD. In addition, active gate driving can also be realized by a digital gate driver [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39] as the gate driving current of a digital gate driver is programmable with gate driving vectors (GVs). As depicted in Fig. 1, GV represents the gate driving pattern


Fig. 2. Concept of RGV.
of a digital gate driver. GV is composed of several steps $n$ and their durations $t$, where the gate charging/discharging current is positively correlated to the magnitude of $n$. For example, a 6-b programmable digital gate driver with four 6-bit variables for IGBT is proposed in [16]. The optimum GV is automatically found out of $64^{4}\left(\sim 1.7 \times 10^{7}\right)$ combinations by more than 2000 measurements using a simulated annealing (SA) algorithm. As a result, the $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ is reduced by $55 \%$ at the same $V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$, and the $V_{\text {OVERShoot }}$ is reduced by $53 \%$ at the same $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ at the turn-OFF of IGBT. However, the optimum GV varies depending on operating conditions such as temperature and load current ( $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ ) [17]. When an optimum GV at a particular temperature and $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ is reused to another temperature and $I_{\text {LOAD }}$, the $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ and $I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }} / V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ of IGBT are sometimes worse than the conventional single-step gate drive [17]. Therefore, robust gate driving vectors (RGV) against temperature and $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ variations are required to solve the problem [18].

The concept of RGV is illustrated in Fig. 2. The object function $\left(f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}\right)$ is a function of $E_{\mathrm{LOSS}}$ and $I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }} / V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ of IGBT during turn-ON/OFF transient. The $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ is used to estimate the performance in the SA algorithm [19]. A smaller $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ shows better performance on $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ and $I_{\text {OVERSHoot }} / V_{\text {OVERShoot. }}$ RGVs are universal GVs that can be applied to different operating conditions. Although RGV may not have an outstanding $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ under a specific optimization condition, it reduces the maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ across different operating conditions. Take the two GVs in Fig. 2 for example, the $f_{\text {OBJ, MAX }} \cdot \mathrm{A}$ is lower than the $f_{\text {OBJ, MAX }} \cdot \mathrm{B}$, which indicates that the gate vector A has better robustness than the gate vector $B$. From a practical viewpoint, product specifications are often determined by the maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$, which makes minimizing the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ across variations in operating conditions important. However, the test cost of searching for an RGV is very high. In [18], 90000 measurements for a full search of GVs across nine different temperature and $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ are required to search an RGV, which take more than 5.5 h . To reduce the test cost, robust simulated annealing (RSA) for an automatic search method of RGV against temperature variation is described in [20]. Nonetheless, RGV against $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ variation is not included.


Fig. 3. Circuit schematic of measurement setup.

In this article, search methods of RGVs with low test costs are proposed. Different types of GVs are chosen for RGV in turn-ON and turn-OFF states. Compared with the conventional full search method of RGV [18] and RSA [20], the proposed search method of RGV has the lowest test cost. Moreover, when the searched RGV and the optimum GV at single condition are both reused to other temperature and $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ conditions, the maximum overall $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ of RGV is lower than that of the single condition optimum GV, demonstrating the stronger robustness of the searched RGV.

The other sections of this article are organized as follows. The proposed RGV search methods for turn-ON and turn-OFF are introduced in Section II. In Section III, the measurement results are shown. Section IV describes the comparison between the proposed RGV and previous works. Finally, Section V presents the conclusion drawn from this article.

## II. RGV Search Method

In this section, the measurement setup and different types of GVs are introduced. Then, the single-step GV and the stop-and-go GV in both turn-ON and turn-OFF states are discussed. Furthermore, the proposed search methods of RGV for turn-ON and turn-OFF are described in detail, respectively.

## A. Measurement Setup and Gate Driving Vector

Fig. 3 shows a circuit schematic of the double pulse test measurement setup for the turn-ON and turn-OFF of IGBT at 300 V. The measurement system consists of a 6-b programmable digital gate driver [19], a 2-in-1 IGBT module (2MBI100TA-$060-50,600 \mathrm{~V}, 100 \mathrm{~A}$ ), and a signal acquisition/control system. In order to realize a programmable 63-level drivability in the programmable digital gate driver, 63 parallel transistors are connected to the gate of IGBT, and a 6-bit control signal is applied to specify the number of activated PMOS (NMOS) transistors, $n_{\text {PMOS }}$ ( $n_{\text {NMOS }}$ ) [19].

Fig. 4 shows the GVs and waveforms for turn-ON state in the 6-bit digital gate driver. Fig. 4(a) shows the single-step GV and Fig. 4(b) shows the stop-and-go GV. The single-step GV can be expressed as $(n)$, where $n$ is an integer from 1 to 63. In order to increase the gate charge resolution of conventional stop-and-go GV [21] in a specific range, $n_{1}$ is fixed to 25 , and thereby $n_{2}$ is


Fig. 4. GVs and waveforms in turn-ON state. (a) Single-step gate drive. (b) Stop-and-go gate drive.


Fig. 5. GVs and waveforms in turn-OFF state. (a) Single-step gate drive. (b) Stop-and-go gate drive.
the only variable. Thus, the stop-and-go GV for turn-ON in this article is defined as $\left(25, n_{2}, 0,63\right)$, where $n_{2}$ is an integer from 0 to 63.

Fig. 5 shows the GVs and waveforms for turn-OFF state in the 6-b digital gate driver. Fig. 5(a) shows the single-step GV, and Fig. 5(b) shows the stop-and-go GV. The single-step GV can be expressed as ( $n$ ), where $n$ is an integer from 1 to 63 . The purpose of Fig. 5(b) is the same as Fig. 4(b), which is for higher gate charge resolution. Thus, the stop-and-go GV for turn-OFF in this article is defined as $\left(39, n_{2}, 0,63\right)$, where $n_{2}$ is an integer from 0 to 63 . The selection of $n_{1}, t_{1}$ and $t_{3}$ in stop-and-go GV of turn-ON and turn-OFF states are described in [21]. The $t_{2}$ is set $10 \%$ of the total duration of $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$.

To evaluate the performance of a GV, the turn-ON object function ( $f_{\text {OBJ_ON }}$ ) and turn-OFF object function (foBJ_OFF $)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\text {OBJ_ON }}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_{\text {LOSS }}}{E_{\text {LOSS, } \mathrm{MAX}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}}{I_{\text {OVERSHOOT, MAX }}}\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f_{\text {OBJ_OFF }}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_{\text {LOSS }}}{E_{\text {LOSS, MAX }}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}}{V_{\text {OVERSHOOT, MAX }}}\right)^{2}}$
where the subscript MAX signifies the maximum of the corresponding quantity. The $E_{\text {LOSS, MAX }}, I_{\text {OVERSHOOT, max }}$, and $V_{\text {OVERSHOOT, MAX }}$ terms in (1) and (2) are determined by the measured results of single-step GVs, which are labeled in Figs. 12 and 13.

In this article, to explain the proposed RGV search method, $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ and $I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }} / V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ of IGBT are measured across nine conditions, including different $I_{\text {LOAD }}(20 \mathrm{~A}, 50 \mathrm{~A}$, $80 \mathrm{~A})$ and temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## B. Proposed Search Method of Robust Single-Step GV in Turn-ON

Fig. 6(a) shows the measured $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n$ with single-step GV at nine different $I_{\mathrm{LOAD}}$ and temperature conditions in turnON state. Fig. 7(a) shows the measured $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n_{2}$ with stop-and-go GV at nine conditions in turn-ON state. The target is to search for a single $n / n_{2}$ with the lowest maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$. Comparing the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ curve of two GVs in Figs. 6(b) and 7 (b), single-step GV gives a lower maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$, which shows a better switching performance. Therefore, single-step GV is selected as the type of RGV for turn-ON.

To search the robust single-step (RSS) GV (n), a worst-case search method is used. In Fig. 6(a), the case of 80 A, $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provides the largest $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ among almost all different $n$. In other words, the case of $80 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decides the maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ of the nine conditions with every single $n$, which shows that 80 A , $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is the worst case of the nine conditions. Therefore, we can search the RGV at $80 \mathrm{~A}, 125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of nine different conditions. Then, $n=13$ with the lowest $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ at $80 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is found as the target RGV.

As stated above, single-step GV is chosen as the RGV type for turn-ON. The proposed RSS GV search method is to search the optimum $n$ at the condition of largest $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and highest temperature. Therefore, only 63 measurements are required to search the RGV with the proposed search method, which results in reduced test cost. If stop-and-go is selected as the RGV type, test cost is increased to $567(=9 \times 63)$ measurements.

## C. Proposed Search Method of Robust Stop-and-Go GV in Turn-OFF

Fig. 8(a) shows the measured $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n$ with single-step GV at nine different $I_{\mathrm{LOAD}}$ and temperature conditions in turnOFF state. Fig. 9(a) shows the measured $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n_{2}$ with stop-and-go GV at nine conditions in turn-OFF. Comparing the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ curve of two GVs in Figs. 8(b) and 9(b), stop-and-go GV gives a lower maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$, which shows a better switching performance. Hence, stop-and-go GV is more suitable as the type of RGV for turn-OFF.

Fig. 10 shows the optimum $n_{2}$ extracted from Fig. 9(a) at nine different $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature conditions. As the $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ increases, $n_{2}$ slightly reduces. As the temperature increases, $n_{2}$ increases significantly. Therefore, $n_{2}$ shows both $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and


Fig. 6. Single-step gate drive in turn-ON state. (a) $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n$ of nine conditions. (b) Maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ vs. $n$.


Fig. 7. Stop-and-go gate drive in turn-ON state. (a) $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ versus $n_{2}$ of nine conditions. (b) Maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ vs. $n_{2}$.


Fig. 8. Single-step gate drive in turn-OFF state. (a) $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n$ of nine conditions. (b) Maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n$.
temperature correlation. The four corner conditions are defined as (the smallest and largest $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ ) $\times$ (the lowest and highest temperature). The results of the four corner conditions include that of the nine conditions. Fig. 11 shows the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ curve at four corner conditions, similar to Fig. 9(b).

From Fig. 9(a), for any condition, when $n_{2}$ is smaller than the optimum value, the $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ increases significantly, which indicates worse switching performances of $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ and $V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$. In
other words, the targeting region is where $n_{2}$ is larger than the optimum value.

As stated above, a search method of robust stop-and-go (RSNG) GV for turn-OFF is proposed. There are two steps in the search method introduced in the following.

1) Search optimum $n_{2}$ under each corner condition of $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature. Since $n_{2}$ shows both $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature correlation, the searching range can be set to


Fig. 9. Stop-and-go gate drive in turn-OFF state. (a) $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ versus $n_{2}$ of nine conditions. (b) Maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ versus $n_{2}$.

|  |  | $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20A | 50A | 80A |
|  | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 25 | 25 | 23 |
|  | $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28 | 27 | 26 |
|  | $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 35 |  | 33 |

Fig. 10. Optimum $n_{2}$ of stop-and-go gate drive across nine conditions including different $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature.


Fig. 11. Maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ versus $n_{2}$ of stop-and-go gate drive in turn-OFF state at four corner conditions.
corner condition instead of full search under all operating conditions.
2) Choose the largest optimum $n_{2}$ from four corner conditions as the target $n_{2}$ and thus the RSNG GV is found. The reason for choosing the largest $n_{2}$ is to make sure that the chosen $n_{2}$ is in the target region of other operating conditions.
By using the proposed search method, a largest $n_{2}=35$ can be found at $20 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and therefore the RSNG GV for turn-OFF is searched. A total of $256(=4 \times 64)$ measurements are required to search the RGV with the proposed search method, which results in reduced test cost.

## III. Measured Results

In order to clarify that the RGVs from the proposed search method are effective in providing smaller maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}} \mathrm{S}$,
$E_{\text {LOSS }}$ and $I_{\text {OVERSHoot }} / V_{\text {OVERSHoot }}$ of IGBT are measured across nine conditions including different $I_{\text {LOAD }}(20 \mathrm{~A}, 50 \mathrm{~A}$, $80 \mathrm{~A})$ and temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Fig. 12 shows the measured $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ versus $I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ of different gate drives in turn-ON state, while Fig. 13 shows the measured $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ versus $V_{\text {OVERShoot }}$ in turn-OFF state. The black curves show the tradeoff of the single-step gate drive with varied $n$ from 4 to 63. The black circles show the RSS gate drive searched with the proposed search method, where $n=13$ in turn-ON state. The blue curves show the results of the stop-and-go gate drive with varied $n_{2}$ from 0 to 63 . The blue circles show the RSNG GV searched with the proposed search method, where $n_{2}=35$ in the turn-OFF state. The red circles show the reuse of optimum stop-ang-go GV at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dotted concentric curves show the contour of $f_{\text {OBJ_ON }}$ and $f_{\text {OBJ_OFF }}$ defined in (1) and (2), respectively. The $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}} \mathrm{S}$ of the abovementioned gate drives at nine conditions in turn-ON and turn-OFF state are respectively plotted in Fig. 14(a) and (b). The maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ s of the RGVs with the proposed search method are the lowest, which indicates that the concept of robustness is realized.

## A. Turn-ON

Fig. 15(a)-(c) show the GVs and the measured waveforms in turn-ON state at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the RSS GV $(n=13)$, the RSNG GV ( $n_{2}=37$ ) and the optimum stop-and-go GV ( $n_{2}=$ 31), respectively. The optimum stop-and-go GV gives the best switching performance among the three different GVs.

Fig. 16(a)-(c) show the GVs and the measured waveforms in turn-ON state at $80 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the $\operatorname{RSS} \mathrm{GV}(n=13)$, the RSNG GV $\left(n_{2}=37\right)$ and the reuse of optimum stop-and-go GV $\left(n_{2}=31\right)$ at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. As clearly shown in the extracted result from Fig. 14(a), the proposed RSS GV gives the lowest maximum $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ across the nine conditions. In contrast, the reuse of stop-and-go GV gives a larger $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ than the RGVs. Therefore, the reuse of an optimum GV is not robust to $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature variations.

## B. Turn-OFF

Fig. 17(a)-(c) show the GVs and the measured waveforms in turn-OFF state at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the RSS GV $(n=6)$,

Fig. 12. Measured $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ versus $I_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ of different gate drives in turn-ON state. Black curves: tradeoff curves of single-step gate drive with varied $n$. Blue curves: results of stop-and-go gate drive with varied $n_{2}$. Black circles: proposed robust single-step gate drive (RSS). Blue circles: robust stop-and-go (RSNG) gate drive. Red circles: reuse of optimum stop-and-go GVs at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (SNG reuse).
the RSNG GV ( $n_{2}=35$ ) and the optimum stop-and-go GV ( $n_{2}=27$ ), respectively. The optimum stop-and-go GV gives the best switching performance among the three different GVs.

Fig. 18(a)-(c) show the GVs and the measured waveforms in turn-OFF state at $20 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the RSS GV $(n=6)$, the RSNG GV $\left(n_{2}=35\right)$ and the reuse of optimum stop-and-go GV $\left(n_{2}=\right.$ 27) at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. As clearly shown in the extracted result from Fig. 14(b), the reuse of stop-and-go GV gives a larger $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ than the RGVs. Therefore, the reuse of an optimum GV is not robust to $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature variations. As shown in Fig. 14(b), the proposed RSNG GV gives the lowest maximum
$f_{\text {OBJ }}$ across the nine conditions, which shows that the proposed RGV is effective to both $I_{\mathrm{LOAD}}$ and temperature variations.

## IV. DISCUSSION

Different search methods and different types of RGVs were proposed in [18] and [20]. In this section, the test cost and performance of the proposed RGVs are discussed and compared with previous RGV works.

In [18], a full search across nine conditions is used to find the RGV. The GV is defined as $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)$. To reduce the combinations of GV, the levels of $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ and $n_{4}$ are reduced


Fig. 13. Measured $E_{\text {LOSS }}$ versus $V_{\text {OVERSHOOT }}$ of different gate drives in turn-OFF state. Black curves: tradeoff curves of single-step gate drive with varied $n$. Blue curves: results of stop-and-go gate drive with varied $n_{2}$. Black circles: robust single-step gate drive (RSS). Blue circles: proposed robust stop-and-go (RSNG) gate drive. Red circles: reuse of optimum stop-and-go GVs at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (SNG reuse)
from 64 to 10 . As a result, the required measurements for a full search in a single condition are reduced from $64^{4}$ to $10^{4}$. In total, the $90000\left(=9 \times 10^{4}\right)$ measurements across nine different conditions take about 5.5 hours. From the measured results, the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ of the RGVs across nine conditions in turn-ON and turn-OFF state are, respectively, around 0.7 and 0.75 .

In [20], a search method of RSA is proposed. Temperature variation is emulated by gate driving current variation, which is realized by adding $\Delta$ into GV. The GV becomes $\left(n_{1}+\Delta, n_{2}+\Delta\right.$, $\left.n_{3}+\Delta, n_{4}+\Delta\right)$ where $\Delta$ is 0 or 1 , in total $16\left(=2^{4}\right)$ combinations. An inner loop which is repeated 16 times is added into the SA iteration. Therefore, RGV is searched under a single condition
by RSA. It takes about 50 min to search RGV by repeating about 2500 optimization loops ( $=2500 \times 16=40000$ measurements). From the measured results, the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ_OFF }}$ across different temperature conditions is around 1 . However, only temperature variation in turn-OFF state is discussed.

In this article, 63 and $256(=4 \times 64)$ measurements are required to search RGVs in turn-ON and turn-OFF states, which take less than 1 and 4 min , respectively. From the measured results, the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ_ON }}$ and $f_{\text {OBJ_OFF }}$ are 0.75 and 0.87 , which show great robustness of RGV from the proposed search method. The comparison between search methods and the performances of different RGVs is given in Table I. Compared with the full


Fig. 14. $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$ of three gate drives at nine conditions. (a) Turn-ON state. (b) Turn-OFF state.


Fig. 15. GVs and measured waveforms at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in turn-ON state. (a) Proposed robust single-step gate drive. (b) Robust stop-and-go gate drive. (c) Optimum stop-and-go gate drive.


Fig. 16. GVs and measured waveforms at $80 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in turn-ON state. (a) Proposed robust single-step gate drive. (b) Robust stop-and-go gate drive. (c) Reuse of optimum stop-and-go gate drive at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. 17. GVs and measured waveforms at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in turn-OFF state. (a) Robust single-step gate drive. (b) Proposed robust stop-and-go gate drive (c) Optimum stop-and-go gate drive.


Fig. 18. GVs and measured waveforms at $20 \mathrm{~A}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in turn-OFF state. (a) Robust single-step gate drive. (b) Proposed robust stop-and-go gate drive. (c) Reuse of optimum stop-and-go gate drive at $50 \mathrm{~A}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TABLE I
Comparison of State-of-THE-Art RGV Search Method and Performance

|  | Sai et al. [18] | Wang et al. [20] | This article |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Search method | Full search | RSA | 1 corner (Turn-on) <br> 4 corner (Turn-off) |
| Required <br> measurements | 90000 | 40000 | 63 (Turn-on) <br> 256 (Turn-off) |
| Test cost | 5.5 h | 50 min | 1 min (Turn-on) <br> 4 min (Turn-off) |
| Maximum $f_{\text {oBJ }}$ | 0.7 (Turn-on) <br> 0.75 (Turn-off) | 1 (Turn-off) | 0.75 (Turn-on) <br> 0.87 (Turn-off) |
| Condition variation | $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ <br> Temperature | Temperature | $I_{\text {LoAD }}$ <br> Temperature |

search method of RGV [18], although the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ_ON }}$ and $f_{\text {OBJ_OFF }}$ are slightly larger, the test cost is greatly reduced by $99 \%$. Compared with RSA [20], not only the maximum $f_{\text {OBJ }}$ is lower, but also the test cost can be reduced by $92 \%$.

The searched RGVs in this article are effective to $I_{\text {LOAD }}$ and temperature variations. However, when the IGBT's threshold voltage varies due to manufacturing variations, research of RGV is required.

## V. Conclusion

The test cost of searching RGV against variation in operating conditions is very high. In this article, RGV search methods for both turn-ON and turn-OFF states are proposed to reduce the test cost of searching RGV. In the switching measurements of IGBT at 300 V across the nine operating conditions including different $I_{\text {LOAD }}(20 \mathrm{~A}, 50 \mathrm{~A}, 80 \mathrm{~A})$ and temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), maximum $f_{\text {OBJ_ON }}$ and $f_{\text {OBJ_OFF }}$ of the searched RGV are 0.75 and 0.87 , respectively. Compared with previous works of RGV search methods in [18] and [20], the proposed search method reduces the test cost by $99 \%$ and $92 \%$ while providing a moderate $f_{\mathrm{OBJ}}$.
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